
CALL TO ORDER & ATTENDANCE

Chair, Pete Woll called the February 13, 2023, 310-meeting to order at 7:00 P.M. in the conference room.

Board members present: Pete Woll, Chair; John Ellis, Vice Chair; Donna Pridmore, Secretary/Treasurer; Scott Rumsey, Supervisor; Roger Marsonette, Supervisor; Gordon Ash, Supervisor; being a quorum of the Board.

Board members absent: Angela Jacobs, Supervisor. Absence is excused.

Also, in attendance were Bill Yankee, Associate Supervisor; Jessie Walthers and Ginger Kauffman, Flathead CD Staff; Kenny Breidinger and Leo Rosenthal, Fish Wildlife & Parks; Dan Wallen; Chris Peterson; Amy Lucke; Connor Loughran, 406 Engineering; Mary T. McClelland; Sharon Bengtson; Terry Divoky; Tory Baughan; Tom Brazan; Tamara Tanberg; Bill Meyers, Bayside Park & Marine Center; Steve and Nettie Wagoner, Wagoner's Sand & Gravel; Rick & Carole Murphy; Rick Yates; John and Stacy Ambler; Mike Kopitzke; Don Garner, Nathan Lucke.

CONSENT AGENDA

Consent Agenda Item 1: Signature Authority

- Authorization for Samantha Tappenbeck to sign on behalf of Supervisors attending the meeting virtually.

Consent Agenda Item 2: Tabled 310's

- **Buck**, FL-2021-041C, Stoner Creek, complaint
 - Determined violation & landowner submitted application.
 - Applicant was informed permit #FL-2021-106 expired 12/13/2022.
 - Have not received the Work Completion form from landowner to date.
 - 1/10/2023 Complaint tabled until information is received from landowner.
- **Hodges**, FL-2022-071, Giefer Creek, fill beaver pond
 - 1/10/2023 Remains tabled until site is viewable.
- **Inspiration Drive**, FL-2022-007, Whitefish River, path/ret wall/stairs
 - 9/22/2022 Tabled waiting for further information from Casey Malmquist.
- **Patterson**, FL-2022-066, Garnier Creek, bank stabilization/revegetate 1/10/2023
 - Will remain tabled until Supervisors Roger Marsonette, Angela Jacobs, and Scott Rumsey, along with Kenny Breidinger can further discuss the application and schedule an onsite inspection.

- **Sneed (Chuck)**, FL-2022-057C, Meadow Creek, complaint
 - 11/14/2022 Determined violation. Landowner must submit 310-application by 3/31/2023.
- **Turner**, FL-2021-081C, Swan River, complaint
 - 5/9/2022 Tabled until permit #FL-2022-008 is completed, expires 5/9/2023.

Consent Agenda Item 3: Minutes

- FCD 310/Business Combined Meeting Minutes 12/12/2022
- FCD 310-Permit Meeting Minutes 1/10/2023

Consent Agenda Item 4: Financial

Check Detail dated 1/30/2023,

1. VISA (Pete Woll) \$650.00
2. VISA (Samantha Tappenbeck) \$1718.35
3. VISA (Donna Pridmore) \$109.50

Check Detail dated 2/1/2023,

1. Diamond Sprinkler Systems \$205.68
2. Lewis & Clark CD \$500.00
3. MT Sky Networks \$10.00
4. Mtn States Leasing – Kalispell \$1,844.86

Consent Agenda Item 5: Correspondence

None.

Pete Woll asked the Board if they wanted to remove anything from the consent agenda. Pete noted that as Samantha Tappenbeck was unable to attend the meeting, someone else would need to sign for Supervisors not attending in person, and asked if Donna Pridmore would sign. Donna agreed.

Roger Marsonette asked that the Peterson 310 be removed from the consent agenda.

Scott Rumsey motioned “to approve with the change of Donna Pridmore signing instead of Samantha Tappenbeck and pull the Patterson 310 from the consent agenda.” John Ellis seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Bill Meyers, Bayside Park & Marine Center in Bigfork stated he had received a copy of the Follow-Up Inspection for permit FL-2020-031. He invited supervisors to a pre-application meeting and asked that Supervisor Scott Rumsey recuse himself from projects on his property. This issue will be added to the 2/27/23 business meeting. No 310-permit application has been received in the district office to date.

310 Onsite Inspections

Ambler, FL-2023-007C, McDonald Creek, complaint: Roger Marsonette explained several complaints have been received, and responses to some of those complaints have also been received. A complaint response to FL-2023-007C was received on 2/10/2023. An onsite inspection was scheduled for Monday, February 27, 10:00 A.M. at the site.

Ambler, FL-2023-010C, McDonald Creek, complaint: A complaint response to FL-2023-010C was received on 2/13/2023. An onsite inspection was scheduled for Monday, February 27, 10:00 A.M. at the site.

Ambler, FL-2023-011C, McDonald Creek, complaint: A complaint response to FL-2023-011C was received on 2/13/2023. An onsite inspection was scheduled for Monday, February 27, 10:00 A.M. at the site.

Ambler, FL-2023-012C, McDonald Creek, complaint: A complaint response to FL-2023-012C was received 2/13/2023. An onsite inspection was scheduled for Monday, February 27, 10:00 A.M. at the site.

Ambler, FL-2023-013C, McDonald Creek, complaint: A complaint response to FL-2023-013C was received 2/13/2023. An onsite inspection was scheduled for Monday, February 27, 10:00 A.M. at the site.

Ambler, FL-2023-014C, McDonald Creek, complaint: A complaint response to FL-2023-014C was received 2/13/2023. An onsite inspection was scheduled for Monday, February 27, 10:00 A.M. at the site.

Ambler, FL-2023-015C, McDonald Creek, complaint: A complaint response to FL-2023-015C was received 2/13/2023. An onsite inspection was scheduled for Monday, February 27, 10:00 A.M. at the site.

Ambler, FL-2023-016C, McDonald Creek, complaint: A complaint response to FL-2023-016C was received 2/13/2023. An onsite inspection was scheduled for Monday, February 27, 10:00 A.M. at the site.

Ambler, FL-2023-017C, McDonald Creek, complaint: A complaint response to FL-2023-017C has not been received as of 2/13/2023.

Ambler, FL-2023-018C, McDonald Creek, complaint: A complaint response to FL-2023-18C was received 2/13/2023. An onsite inspection was scheduled for Monday, February 27, 10:00 A.M. at the site.

Ambler, FL-2023-022C, McDonald Creek, complaint: A complaint response to FL-2023-022C has not been received as of 2/13/2023.

Ambler, FL-2023-023C, McDonald Creek, complaint: A complaint response to FL-2023-023C has not been received as of 2/13/2023.

Ambler, FL-2023-024C, McDonald Creek, complaint: A complaint response to FL-2023-024C has not been received as of 2/13/2023.

Bear Paw Properties/Garner, FL-2023-021, Krause Creek, bank stabilization: Pete Woll explained the applicants have a bridge downstream from the area where the district and River Design Group (RDG) constructed low-tech process-based restoration structures this past fall. Rounded boulders that were protecting the bridge abutments were moved by last spring's runoff. The application is to push those round boulders back into place and bring in 8-12 yards of heavy angular rip rap to temporarily keep the bridge from deteriorating. Work will be done while the stream is dry. RDG is currently working on how to further stabilize the bridge and will submit an application later. Leo Rosenthal asked about rock sizing, and Don Garner affirmed that RDG provided the sizing and is currently working on a pre-analysis based on lidar elevation information. A survey and detail work will also be completed on the ground, so the size may be readjusted. The plan is no machinery in the streambed, to work from banks, hand place some rocks being careful not to narrow the channel more. Leo noted the photos showed that the existing rock under the bridge already narrows the channel which is probably the root of causing it to scour. Don described other possible options and added that RDG still needs to finish accurate modeling with on ground profile elevation data, remodel and make recommendations. Leo noted that he has been to sight and does not need to go again.

Pete Woll motioned "this is a project." John Ellis seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Pete Woll motioned "to approve application FL-2023-021 as submitted, as a temporary fix, until we receive additional information; and to waive the onsite." Donna Pridmore seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Leo added that if through the modeling process they determine that different size rock is needed, then they must submit an amendment to the permit. John Ellis noted that Rule 10 subsection 4 of the Adopted Rules states "The supervisors may modify a project that has several phases to provide that a team inspection be made for each phase and the Team Member Report be updated for each phase." This is appropriate for this situation as we are giving them a permit to do temporary work. Pete agreed and added that more information will be coming, and instead of going through the emergency process right now, this is just to get the process going. Leo noted that no 318 is required as work will be done while the creek is dry.

Brazan, FL-2023-008, Stillwater River, pier: Donna Pridmore motioned "this is a project." Scott Rumsey seconded. Motion carried unanimously. An onsite inspection was scheduled for Friday, 2/17/2023, 2:30 P.M. at the site.

Glacier Village Greens, FL-2023-001, Whitefish River, debris removal: Roger Marsonette motioned "to declare FL-2023-001 is a project." John Ellis seconded. Motion carried unanimously. An onsite inspection was scheduled for Tuesday, February 28, 11:00 A.M. at the site.

Patterson, FL-2022-066, Garnier Creek, bank stabilization/re-veg: An onsite inspection was scheduled for Monday, March 6, 10:30 A.M. at the site. Roger Marsonette, Angela Jacobs, Scott Rumsey, and Kenny Breidinger will meet at 9:00 A.M. at the FCD office to review files prior to the onsite.

Sneed (William), FL-2023-004, Flathead River, bank stabilization/re-vegetation: Scott Rumsey motioned "this is a project." John Ellis seconded. Motion carried unanimously. An onsite inspection was scheduled for Tuesday, March 7, 9:00 A.M. at the site. Donna Pridmore and Roger Marsonette both noted concern about the amount of vegetation that will be destroyed with the current plan.

Sneed (Carson), FL-2023-005, Flathead River, bank stabilization/re-vegetation/dock: Scott Rumsey motioned "this is a project." Donna Pridmore seconded. Motion carried unanimously. An onsite inspection was scheduled for Tuesday, March 7, 9:00 A.M. at the site. Donna Pridmore and Roger Marsonette both noted concern about the amount of vegetation that will be destroyed with the current plan.

Wagoner's Sand & Gravel, FL-2023-019, Flathead River, rip rap trench: Roger Marsonette motioned "this is a project." Donna Pridmore seconded. Motion carried unanimously. An onsite inspection was scheduled for Tuesday, February 28, 9:00 A.M. at the site.

Wagoner's Sand & Gravel, FL-2023-020, Flathead River, gravel mining, gravel pit: Roger Marsonette motioned "this is a project." John Ellis seconded. Motion carried unanimously. An onsite inspection was scheduled for Tuesday, February 28, 9:00 A.M. at the site.

310's

Andrews, FL-2022-069, Flathead River, bank stabilization/dock: Pete Woll explained an onsite inspection was held 1/17/2023. The project is for rip rap and a dock, protecting the vegetation and revegetating. Kenny Breidinger stated they included a biodegradable erosion cloth for plants to grow through. Tory Baughan noted that the coconut fiber cloth should last for 5-7 years in northern climates. Pete Woll asked Tory to submit information on the cloth to add to the file.

Pete Woll motioned "to approve the application with modifications per the Team Member Report. The modifications are: 1. Woody shrubs should be planted in rip rap. 2. Silt fence should be placed parallel to the river until the vegetation is established. 3. Silt fence must be removed when soil is stabilized." Donna Pridmore seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Big Sky Investments, FL-2022-052, Garnier Creek, sub/culvert/re-veg etc.: John Ellis stated an application addendum was received 2/7/2023. John Ellis stated we need to have them come in for a meeting. Kenny Breidinger concurred it is a huge project and there has been a number of modifications, and it is not clear if what they've submitted is the final version of the application.

John Ellis motioned "to table FL-2022-052 until the next 310 meeting and ask the engineer to attend in person with current plans." Donna Pridmore seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Lucke, FL-2022-043C, Flathead River, complaint: Pete Woll that the complaint was determined to be a violation, and the landowner was to submit an application.

John Ellis motioned "the activity specified in FL-2022-043C is a violation, however, the violation has been satisfied by the submittal and approval of FL-2023-006." Donna Pridmore seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Lucke, FL-2023-006, Flathead River, dock removal & replace/bk stabilization: Pete Woll stated this was a violation (FL-2022-043C) and the landowner was required to submit an application after-the-fact to document with drawings the work that had already been done. The application was submitted 1/13/2023. Kenny Breidinger added this was a violation, and they had re-sloped the bank, rebuilt the pre-existing lagoon, and rebuilt the docks within the lagoon in the same footprint as before. Since they initially saw it, they had done a significant amount of revegetation work, they added sod grass to stabilize the soils, and done other plantings with some trees or maybe shrubs. Pete Woll noted that due to extreme temperatures, the sod did not take in one of the locations; that area will be planted into willows. Donna Pridmore added that they had received a permit years ago for the original work but thought they did not need a permit for maintenance. Kenny Breidinger noted that this landowner did not dig the lagoon.

John Ellis motioned “to approve the application as submitted.” Donna Pridmore seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Malpeli, FL-2022-002, Flathead River, permit extension request: Donna Pridmore explained the district received a request for a permit extension on 1/17/2023. The permit will expire 3/14/2023. Work was not completed as a Floodplain Permit was not issued until May of 2022, so the applicant missed their building opportunity.

Donna Pridmore motioned “to grant a one-year permit extension.” John Ellis seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

MT MOKKO, FL-2022-067C, Stillwater River, complaint: Donna Pridmore explained that an onsite inspection was held 1/12/2023. During the onsite inspection they went up to the top of the rock pile from which point they could tell that the river has moved. Decided it was not a violation.

Donna Pridmore motioned “this activity is not a violation as defined by the district.” John Ellis seconded. Motion carried unanimously. Roger Marsonette abstained from voting.

During discussion, Kenny Breidinger added that this is a giant pile of rocks that has been there from the late 1990s or early 2000s. Looking through aerial photos indicate that the pile was placed close to the top of the streambank at the time, but it was clear that there has been some erosion. They dug in a bench to catch rocks tumbling down. Future additions and dumping of rocks will be to the southeast and not on the river side. Heavily impacted area, not totally clear. Kenny Breidinger also noted that it is interesting that Planning & Zoning/Floodplain and US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) are not interested in the activities happening here, because there are many thousands of yards of fill right in the floodplain.

Ray Family Trust, FL-2021-088, Stoner Creek, permit extension request: Donna Pridmore sent notice that their permit was about to expire, and this initiated the request for extension. On 2/4/2023 the district received a request for permit extension. When the 310 permit was previously approved, it was under the requirement that they remove the ponds from the plans. A copy of the joint application with plans was submitted to USACE and there was subsequent email correspondence between USACE and the applicant’s consultant, Urbani. This correspondence alluded to the fact that the ponds were still included in the plans. Kenny

Breidinger noted he was surprised to see the extension request because he received a public notice last November from USACE preliminary planning with Montana Aquatic Resources for wetland mitigation, but this was a different design for the project area than what the landowner ultimately decided to go with through working with Urbani. There is confusion and it seems as if they are moving forward with two different projects.

Donna Pridmore motioned “to table and request Urbani send the current and correct plans.” John Ellis seconded.

Additional discussion regarding the prior decision and what was approved and how that tied into the potential mitigation credit discussion. John Ellis noted that after one year they have not done any work.

Donna withdrew her motion and John Ellis withdrew his second.

Gordon Ash motioned “on FL-2021-088 to deny the permit extension request.” Donna Pridmore seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Sneed (Chuck), FL-2022-058C, Patterson Creek, complaint/violation: Pete Woll explained FL-2022-058C was determined to be a violation on 10/11/2022 and the landowner was instructed to submit a 310 application. Application #FL-2023-009 received 1/30/2023 in response to violation.

Sneed (Chuck), FL-2023-009, Patterson Creek, stream diversion/excavation /irrigation/headgate: Pete Woll explained that application #FL-2023-009 was received 1/30/2023 in response to violation #FL-2022-058C. 406 Engineering did the design work and provided plans of how the pipeline was laid and how the diversion had been installed on the ground. Leo Rosenthal explained that this was an existing irrigation pipe that was replaced, and that it was done in a way that would have been required if they had applied for permit – they diverted the water around the excavated area when doing the work and the rock that is armoring the buried pipe is appropriately sized for stream.

Dan Wallen requested to comment and stated that he was here to provide information and evidence on why the permit application FL-2023-009 should be denied. He contends there are misleading statements in the application and presented the following information:

1. Photos were submitted to indicate where the pipe is now, but the location of the pipe is not where the stream flowed prior to the work. He noted evidence in the form of photos showing roots protruding from the side of the banks, and aerial photos indicating this was a previously treed area. He noted it is a misstatement that he diverted the stream to place the pipe. He channeled that “U” in there to make water flow to it, which would be visible when the snow is gone. The banks are shallow and marshy meandering through forest except for where the irrigation diversion was installed which has closer to three-foot tall banks with rock. That stream never flowed to the particular area where the pipe was installed.
2. Under Section C of the application, the applicant did not check in the place for “other projects” even though there is a cistern being provided by the pipe, there was debris

removal as stated, and there was excavation for a pit and pond where this pipe is now diverting water to.

3. Under Section C #3, the engineer stated the owner has water rights to Patterson Creek to divert and irrigate his pasture. Dan Wallen consented that it is true that Sneed has water rights to Patterson Creek, and that water right for that appropriation is # 42557 which specifically does not provide any type of diversion of stream. It is specifically to be pumped from that location with a 2" pipe. Mr. Wallen alleges that Mr. Sneed added an 8" pipe and is appropriating more water than his water right allows and believes that activity should be denied.
4. Section C #6 potential temporary or permanent changes to the water flow or bed or banks of the water body that will be done to minimize those changes. The engineer states in the application that irrigation will reduce waterflow to Patterson Creek when it is in used, but this is all in accordance with the landowner's legal water rights. Dan Wallen acknowledged that water rights are not within the jurisdiction of Flathead Conservation District, but that the means of diversion and corresponding reduction of flow in Patterson Creek should be considered by this board.
5. Under Section A, #6 regarding how existing vegetation will be protected, the engineer stated that almost no vegetation was removed. Aerial photos show this is an untrue statement and many trees were taken from immediate site of where diversion is, in addition to smaller vegetation.
6. Mr. Wallen also noted that all of the water that is being diverted from that location is being transported from one fish-bearing perennial stream into another perennial stream that used to have fish in it. Questioned diverting water from one body of water to another.

Mr. Wallen provided photos to show the pond they did not apply for, the cistern inside of a building, and Meadow Creek that dries up due to upstream work. Mr. Wallen indicated a location of the termination of the diversion which pumps into a new pond and from there feeds directly into Meadow Creek, which the other complaint is in regard to. Per this evidence provided by Mr. Wallen and the applicant's dishonesty in the application, he asks that the board deny the application.

Pete Woll noted that the pond in Meadow Creek is being handled under a different application and that this one only deals with the intake at Patterson creek.

According to the application, the work was completed in 2019. Dan Wallen confirmed the timeline. Dan Wallen also noted that the stream did have a 90 degree turn it, but when they did this work, they dug a horseshoe off the stream. Now the stream flows through the manmade horseshoe.

John Ellis asked Pete Woll what was determined by the Supervisors and FWP during the complaint inspection. Pete Woll explained that they found that the work to install the diversion on Patterson Creek had been completed without a permit and was a violation. They looked at the cistern, and this was considered marginal if in our jurisdiction. Gordon added that the work accomplished would have met our standards and any disturbance that would happen to that would disrupt the riparian, bank, and vegetation and should have been permitted. Leo Rosenthal added that it was clear that there had been excavation at the point of diversion because it was armored with rock. In the side channel where they diverted it, it was not very raw. The site

looked to have been manipulated, but not recently, which makes sense considering the work was completed in 2019.

Leo Rosenthal noted that the one piece of information that we don't have is what the diversion pipeline looked like prior to replacement. Discussion around jurisdiction under 310 Law vs. water rights. The board and FWP concurred that the volume of diversion is not something that the board can consider, only the potential impacts on the bed and banks.

However, Leo Rosenthal noted that cross-drainage diversions of water from one perennial stream to another would be something to consider under 310 jurisdiction. Further discussion was held regarding the configuration of the diversion, the location of the off-channel pond, and the seasonally-dry outflow channel that would route to Meadow Creek when flowing. Leo Rosenthal noted that this area is difficult to assess because it goes seasonally dry, but during the runoff in the spring the water table is very high and the entire area floods. Part of what the applicant was probably trying to do was route some of that flood water.

Donna Pridmore if when the applicant reroutes the water if it dewater Patterson Creek. Dan Wallen stated that yes, it does dewater Patterson Creek. Mr. Wallen added that the applicant diverts water from Patterson Creek to Meadow Creek in order to withdraw water for irrigation per his existing irrigation water right on Meadow Creek. Meadow Creek otherwise goes dry due to diversions upstream (in Lake County).

Donna Pridmore motioned "to table FL-2023-009 until the application for the Meadow Creek project is submitted." Roger Marsonette seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Additional discussion brought up by Leo Rosenthal noting the cross-drainage transfer of water and that we may need to reconsider that. Leo Rosenthal stated that he might like to go back out to view the site, because the hydrology of the area is complicated. It is hard to see an identifiable channel. The natural way that water drains through that area during floods potentially routes water from Patterson Creek into Meadow Creek. The flooded area is kind of the confluence between Patterson and Meadow Creeks.

Dan Wallen agreed that the streams meet before they enter Swan River, but disputes that Meadow Creek did not have a defined channel. Aerials photos show a clear and defined channel. It does flood and crest its banks, but this is not a regular, annual occurrence.

Stober, FL-2022-070, Flathead River, bank stabilization: Pete Woll explained that the onsite inspection was held 1/17/2023. It was difficult to see due to ice on the bank and extending into the water but they got a sense of the general layout. The contractor attended the onsite and noted that it was difficult to design because they could not see the extent of the bank and what was needed for equipment access. The contractor noted that they plan to try to protect as much vegetation as possible when placing rip rap. This site is challenging due to lake effect and wave action due to location of the proposed project. Kenny Breidinger noted that this is a standard bank stabilization application, and reemphasized that they will attempt to leave existing vegetation when placing the rock. Scott Rumsey asked if there are provisions to add any vegetation. Tamara Tanberg indicated there is a healthy growth of willows in place, will trim back, preserve root balls, rather than remove and any disturbed areas will be revegetated

Pete Woll motioned “to approve the application with modifications per the Team Member Report. Modifications are 1) to minimize impact on existing vegetation and 2) to place rip rap 1 ft above the full pool elevation.” John Ellis seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Gordon Ash commented that any clippings from the existing vegetation can be staked in among the rip rap. Kenny Breidinger indicated that they can use the willow cuttings and stake them into soil as far as can and they will typically take root.

NEW BUSINESS

Flathead County Planning Board Representative: Associate Supervisor Bill Yankee explained that when he was appointed as interim representative, he assumed this was a non-voting roll. He attended the last Planning Board meeting and learned that this is a voting position. He explained he is uncomfortable with this and his reasoning. He stated that if the district needs to appoint a voting member in the interim, he would prefer someone else to fill in, otherwise he is fine attending and reporting back to the FCD Board. No action was taken at this time. Gordon Ash, Pete Woll and Samantha Tappenbeck will discuss this further after the CD Operations Audit meeting on Friday, 2/17/23.

Additional discussion held regarding the importance of letting Planning & Zoning meeting attendees know that other permits may be required for their projects.

SB83 Update and Position: Scott Rumsey explained the bill is to combine Flathead Basin Commission (FBC) and Upper Columbia Conservation Commission (UC3) into the Western Montana Conservation Commission. It has advanced out of the Senate committee and floor unopposed, and now moves to the House committee. No opposition has been expressed so far. He asked if the Board had interest in providing comment as proponent or opponent.

Scott Rumsey noted that, given the mission of the FBC & UC3, a combined commission at the regional scale would greatly increase the scope and there would be a loss of focus on the Flathead Basin. Donna Pridmore noted she would like to present opposition of the combination of FBC and UC3. Gordon Ash concurred and noted that it is clear in the discussion that this is a dilution of FBC focus, and that additional funds and capacity would be needed under an expanded, combined commission. Gordon also noted that the governor is seeking to make regulatory agencies/commissions more efficient, but that FBC is not a regulatory commission. Donna Pridmore added that she had talked to Mark Bostrom regarding these concerns, and that the focus should be on the Flathead Basin. Mark Bostrom indicated that he planned to recruit at least three full-time employees to resolve that concern. Donna Pridmore indicated that she is against the combined commission.

Scott Rumsey, Donna Pridmore, and Gordon Ash will work on drafting a letter. This will be added to the agenda for the meeting on Friday, 2/17/2023.

MATTERS OF THE BOARD/STAFF

Home & Garden Show: Jessie Walthers explained the Home & Garden Show is March 4-5 (Saturday & Sunday) and passed around a sign-up sheet for shifts at the booth.

The next business meeting is scheduled for Monday, February 27, 2023, 7:00 P.M. in person and via ZOOM.

Adjournment: Donna Pridmore motioned "to adjourn." John Ellis seconded. Motion carried unanimously. As there was no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 9:42 P.M.

Submitted By:

Ginger Kauffman
Administrator

Reviewed By:

Samantha Tappenbeck
Resource Conservationist

Minutes approved by FCD Board motion made on:

<u>3/13/2023</u>	<u>Pete Woll</u>	<u>Chairman</u>
(Date)	(Signature)	(Title – Chair etc.)