
CALL TO ORDER & ATTENDANCE

Vice-Chair, John Ellis, called the June 12, 2023, 310-meeting to order at 7:00 P.M. in the conference room.

Board members present: John Ellis, Vice Chair; Donna Pridmore, Secretary/Treasurer; Scott Rumsey Supervisor; Roger Marsonette, Supervisor; being a quorum of the Board.

Board members absent: Pete Woll, Chair; Gordon Ash, Supervisor. Absences are excused.

Also, in attendance were Samantha Tappenbeck, Jessie Walthers and Ginger Kauffman, FCD Staff; Bill Yankee, Associate Supervisor; Kenny Breidinger and Leo Rosenthal, FWP; Tim Birk; Casey Malmquist; Jeff Nichols; Dan Wallen; Helen Lundgren; Daniel Corbeille; John Phelps; Tia Komberec; Brian Sugden, Green Diamond Resource Co.; Brad Bennett, Water & Environmental Technologies; Mary T. McClelland; Margarite Thomas, DEQ; Terese Kashi; Danielle Vukonich; Margarite Thomas, MT DEQ; Gwayne Hartley; Dan Rosser; Dru & Judy Willey; Ted & Linda Nichols; Bill & Veronica Robinson; Cody Benkelman; Mike Kopitzke; Cody Jensen, 406 Engineering; John Muhlfeld, River Design Group.

CONSENT AGENDA

Consent Agenda Item 1: Signature Authority

- Authorization for Samantha Tappenbeck to sign on behalf of Supervisors attending the meeting virtually.

Consent Agenda Item 2: Minutes

- FCD 5/3/2023 Special Meeting Minutes
- FCD 5/8/2023 310-Stream Permit Meeting Minutes

Consent Agenda Item 3: Financial

Check Detail dated 5/23/2023 – 5/24/2023

1. VISA (Donna Pridmore) \$179.31
2. VISA (Samantha Tappenbeck) \$1,833.49
3. Byte Savvy (April) \$130.00
4. Byte Savvy (May) \$130.00
5. Charter Communications \$162.95
6. Land-Tech Landscaping \$80.00
7. Montana State University-Bozeman \$1,000.00
8. MT Biological Weed Control Coord Project \$20.00
9. River Design Group \$7,667.50
10. Tetra Tech Inc. \$7,685.00

11. University of Montana - \$750.00

Check Detail dated 6/7/2023

1. County of Flathead School District #5 \$2,190.59
2. Fisher's Technology \$287.00
3. Land to Hand Montana \$562.50
4. Matt Ford \$220.78
5. MontanaSky Networks \$10.00
6. Montana State Parks Foundation \$2,684.71
7. River Design Group \$6,123.75
8. University of Portland (Sullivan) \$500.00
9. Willamette University (Benkelman) \$1,000.00
10. Flathead Valley Community College (Steinle) \$500.00
11. Flathead Valley Community College (Shepard) \$500.00
12. Montana State University Bozeman (Kelly) \$500.00
13. Montana State University Bozeman (Hoover) \$750.00
14. Montana State University Bozeman (Bay) \$750.00
15. Montana State University Bozeman (Anderson) \$750.00
16. Montana State University Bozeman (Heupel) \$1,000.00
17. Byte Savvy \$130.00

Consent Agenda Item 4: Correspondence - None

Donna Pridmore motioned "to approve the consent agenda." Roger Marsonette seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Cody Benkelman explained that his daughter, Katie, was working and unable to attend the meeting this evening to thank the Board for the college scholarship. He stated there is a change in where she will be attending, as his daughter was on a wait list at Harvard University. Harvard has accepted her, so she will be attending there instead of Willamette University. An updated Designation Form was provided to the district.

Mike Kopitzke, West Glacier, stated he has been regularly attending the meetings regarding the McDonald Creek complaints. He stated those in West Glacier wanted to express their appreciation to the district for their diligence, frankness, and how well district meetings are run. They are looking forward to discussion of the facts, evidence, and decision at the public hearing for the Declaratory Ruling.

310 Onsite Inspections

95 Karrow, LLC, FL-2023-060, Whitefish River, bridge/dock/path: John Ellis motioned "to accept FL-2023-060 as a project." Donna Pridmore seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

An onsite inspection was scheduled for Monday, June 19, 10:30 A.M. at the site.

Andesite Properties, FL-2023-066, Flathead River, fill/added rock to bank stabilization: Roger Marsonette motioned "this is a complete application." Donna Pridmore seconded. Motion

carried unanimously. An onsite inspection was scheduled for Monday, June 26, 2023, 9:00 A.M. at the site.

Bain, FL-2023-059, Hell Roaring Creek, bridge removal & replacement: John Ellis motioned “this is a completed application.” Donna Pridmore seconded. Motion carried unanimously. An onsite inspection was scheduled for Monday, June 19, 9:00 A.M. at the site.

Bybee, FL-2023-063C, Ashley Creek, complaint: Scott Rumsey motioned “to table until the June 26th business meeting.” Donna Pridmore seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Glacier Stone Supply, FL-2023-052, Stillwater River, complaint: An onsite inspection was scheduled for Thursday, June 29, 9:15 A.M. at the site.

Green Diamond Res., FL-2023-056, Pleasant Valley Fisher River tributary, culvert: Donna Pridmore motioned “this is a complete application.” John Ellis seconded. Motion carried unanimously. An onsite inspection was scheduled for Tuesday, June 20, 1:30 P.M. at Boisvert’s on McGregor Lake.

Hartley, FL-2023-058, Little Lost Creek, replace pole barn: Donna Pridmore motioned “this is a complete application.” John Ellis seconded. Motion carried unanimously. An onsite inspection was scheduled for Tuesday, June 20, 3:30 P.M. at the site.

Miles Whitefish Investment, FL-2023-065, Whitefish River, gravel river landing/thinning: John Ellis motioned “to accept FL-2023-0065 as a project.” Donna Pridmore seconded. Motion carried unanimously. An onsite inspection was scheduled for Monday, June 19, 11:30 A.M. at the site.

Neuharth, FL-2023-064, Flathead River, retaining walls/dock/boat shelter & lift: Donna Pridmore motioned “this is a complete application.” John Ellis seconded. Motion carried unanimously. An onsite inspection was scheduled for Thursday, June 22, 9:30 A.M. at the site.

Niche Holdings LLC, FL-2023-057, Swan River, tree removal: Donna Pridmore motioned “FL-2023-057 is a complete application.” John Ellis seconded. Motion carried unanimously. An onsite inspection was scheduled for Friday, June 30, 9:45 A.M. at the site.

Nichols, FL-2023-042C, Flathead River, complaint: An onsite inspection was scheduled for Thursday, June 29, 11:00 A.M. at the site.

Phelps, FL-2023-069E, Haskill Creek, notice of emergency: John Ellis motioned “to approve FL-2023-069E as submitted, and waive the onsite inspection per the photos submitted by the applicant. The applicant is to monitor and remove and replace any deteriorated sandbags.” Scott Rumsey seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Robinson, FL-2023-050C, Little Deer Creek, complaint: An onsite inspection was scheduled for Thursday, June 22, 11:30 A.M. at the site. Margarite Thomas, DEQ, noted DEQ’s part is the 318-permit, which Fish Wildlife & Parks works with them on. She will wait to hear from Kenny Breidinger.

Wood, FL-2023-061, Garnier Creek, utilities: Donna Pridmore stated that Mr. Wood submitted an email today changing the bore depth to 5-feet. John Ellis motioned "FL-2023-061 is a complete application." Donna Pridmore seconded. Motion carried unanimously. John Ellis motioned "to approve the application with modifications and waive the onsite inspection. Modifications are listed in the email submitted by David Wood on June 12, 2023, changing boring depth from 4-6 feet to 5-feet." Donna Pridmore seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

310's

Barker, FL-2023-037, Flathead River, bank stabilization/dock: Scott Rumsey explained that the applicant submitted a request to withdraw the application today. Scott Rumsey motioned "to withdraw application FL-2023-037." Donna Pridmore seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Birk, FL-2021-011, Flathead River, follow-up/failure to comply with permit: Permit FL-2021-011 expired 3/22/2022, and the Work Completion form was submitted 10/17/2022. Neighbors recently complained that work was being done on the Birk property next to Flathead River. Donna Pridmore explained that a follow-up inspection was held May 5, 2023, and she and Scott Rumsey found that the project was not constructed as permitted. It was also noted that work had been done after the Work Completion form had been submitted to the office: vegetation had been removed, and fill was placed on top of the rip rap. At the May 8, 2023, 310-meeting Mr. Birk was found to be in violation, and a certified letter was sent specifying the nature of the violation and requiring him to submit a new 310-application describing the work that was done after the permit expired and work that will be done to remediate the violation. No application has been submitted to date.

The original application was for rip rap to prevent the bank from further eroding due to some undercutting that was occurring on the bank. Mr. Birk was going to use equipment to tuck large angular rocks up under the bank, and he stated that he would preserve as much vegetation as possible. The site is approximately 280' long. Before and after photos were provided. Donna stated Mr. Birk placed rip rap 10-20 feet out from the original bank and filled in behind to create more land surface. Scott noted that this work was done after permit FL-2021-011 had expired.

Mr. Birk stated that he finished the project last year and submitted the Work Completion form. He noted that he did not lay down fabric. He stated he didn't do anything other than what he was permitted to do. Mr. Birk provided photos taken one week ago showing vegetation. He noted that the pile of rock they saw when the follow-up inspection was done was for his driveway and the pad. He stated that seed he had put down washed away last year during the high water, and what did not wash away then died out due to heat. The photos he provided showed greenery, and he noted shrubs and plants are coming up right now. He stated some of the area had as much as a 12' drop, and he took it to the grade that he was permitted to do.

Donna noted that work had been done after the permit expired and the Work Completion form was submitted. Mr. Birk stated that he had used a mechanical rake to prepare a small seed bed to see how it would work, and that was the only time he had been in that area. He stated he had not been in the area during high water. John Ellis asked Mr. Birk if he had done any work since March 2022. Mr. Birk replied that he did some seeding and planting to try to fix it up. He stated that he had also handpicked some rocks and tossed them into some of the craters in the rock piles that were due to flooding last year.

Scott Rumsey stated that at the time of the follow up inspection full pool had not yet occurred and it was noted that there was no water mark in the material that had been leveled on the immediate bank which indicates it was placed after the high water elevation receded in 2022.

Mr. Birk interrupted and said that he put in new electric to the irrigation pump and also put in a waterline from a new well across to the same line where he put in the irrigation line and then out to where he was going to put in a hydrant because the planting on the streambank needs to be watered or it will just dry up and die again. He further reiterated that he has not done anything since, because he does not know what to do and has no intention of doing anything objectionable.

Donna stated that Mr. Birk was asked to submit a new application that would include any work that was done since the permit expired in March 2022, any work on the irrigation system, and proposed irrigation plan for the streambank planting. Further discussion regarding the determination of 310 jurisdiction.

Samantha Tappenbeck clarified that there are essentially two different components to what the board has identified was in violation: 1) That the work which was done was different that what was approved in the permit, and 2) that work was done after the permit had expired. Samantha noted that she recently met with FCD Supervisors Pridmore and Rumsey and FWP representative Breidinger to document the nature of the violation and suggested sharing the summary of information included in that document.

Mr. Birk interjected to explain the timeline from his perspective: Work on the project was finished in the fall of 2021. He did not submit the Work Completion form until several months later when reviewing the permit paperwork and he realized that he was supposed to submit it. Mr. Birk stated that he has not done anything of significance on that property except digging a waterline and putting in power, changing the mainline from the irrigation a bit, and I putting in a waterline across 40 acres to that location. After that was done he stopped and waited to do the follow-up inspection with Donna and Scott.

Donna Pridmore reviewed the District's documentation of the timeline:

- Original permit issued March 2021
- Work Completion form submitted March 2022
- Follow-up Inspection completed May 5, 2023. Mr. Birk missed it. During the follow-up inspection documentation of work completed, more recently since the high water receded from the 2022 season and is confirmed by no evidence of wave action or evidence of watermark in project area. Applicant claims that the coir logs, the erosion control and the plants were all washed away during the high water of 2022. The applicant indicated that prior to the following follow-up inspection he had gone out and graded so it was even, and top dressed the project area.
- At the 310 meeting on 5/8/2023 the permittee was found to be in violation. A certified letter was sent specifying the nature of the violation, and the requirement to submit a new 310-application describing the work and the remediation plan, and that the remediation work is to be completed by 9/30/2023.
- The permittee requested a meeting with the area supervisor to discuss the nature of the violation. As of 6/12/2023 no application has been received.

Donna Pridmore reviewed the documentation of the findings during the follow-up inspection and factors considered in determination of violation:

- **The application that was approved stated that existing trees will be protected. There is a large area that was cleared of riparian vegetation and not just at the equipment access point.**
 - Adopted Rules Chapter 3.1.2.b. Streambank vegetation must not be damaged.
- **Added loose fill material on top of angular rock. This was not included in the original permit application.**
 - Adopted Rules Chapter 2.A.5. Rip rap rock and other material used in a project must be of adequate size, shape and must be properly placed to protect the streambank from erosion.
 - Adopted Rules Chapter 3.A.2.d.ii. All rip rap must be free of silts, sands or clays.
- **Filled a large area to extend the streambank out. In the application addendum, the applicant specified that he would be doing 12-15 feet rather than 100 feet as originally proposed. He did not slope the bank, he filled in the bank.**
 - Adopted Rules 3.a.2.b. Retaining walls designed to extend the land area into the stream are prohibited.
 - Adopted Rules Chapter 3.1.2.i. Filling for the purpose of expanding existing land areas is prohibited.
- **Planting plans submitted by the applicant 3/31/2021, there is no evidence of planting in the project area. The applicant claims that plant and erosion control coir logs were washed away last year.**
 - Adopted Rules Chapter 2.A.2. All disturbed areas must be managed during construction and reclaimed after construction to minimize erosion and sedimentation. Weed control must be undertaken and maintained on any disturbed area.
 - Adopted Rules Chapter 2.A.3. Temporary structures used during construction must be designed to handle high flows reasonably anticipated during the project construction period. Temporary structures must be completely removed from the stream channel at the conclusion of construction and the area must be restored to a natural and stable condition.
 - Adopted Rules Chapter 3.1.2.b. Streambank vegetation must not be damaged.
- **Possible work on the irrigation intake and installation of a new water line. The applicant claims the only work that took place after the expiration of the permit was to install a new water line for a pivot. None of this work was included in the original permit application, and details are unknown regarding whether or not this work would be a project within the 310- jurisdiction.**

Kenny Breidinger stated the site visit we had was a couple years ago now. We talked about minimizing disturbance to vegetation and placing rocks at the vertical part that was being eroded. There were some spots where that would have had to be way back a little bit. There was some vegetation that would have had to be removed or was damaged. We did not talk about placing fill over top of the rip rap.

Mr. Birk stated there wouldn't be any growth if he had put any fill on top of it. Mr. Birk provided photos to demonstrate vegetation in the project area. There are areas where it doesn't have any, there are areas where you can see the grass, but it is very thin. It needs water or it's going to die.

Mr. Birk stated there were a lot of plants that he put in that didn't survive, but there's a tremendous number of plants that are thriving.

John Ellis stated that in looking at the photos he does not see any rocks or riprap. Mr. Birk stated it's there, and that in the application that was approved he was supposed to put in the riprap, then fill it in, and slope it to 1.5:1. John stated that seems to be a controversy here, because the supervisor and the representative from FWP feel that they never gave you a permit to fill in the riprap.

Mr. Birk stated that he also had input from the US Army Corps of Engineers. Donna asked if he could provide a copy of the 404 Permit and that might help if we could review it.

Kenny Breidinger stated that he remembers this being our typical kind of riprap project where rocks are placed up against the eroding bank to try to stop the undercutting. The work that was done is different than what we talked about when we were onsite. Also, when there is a very steep, large bank we talk about laying that bank back and not extending it out into the water. We do have recommendations for at least a 1.5:1 slope. John Ellis asked if Kenny has been to the property since the initial inspection. Kenny stated no, he has not.

John Ellis stated that he understands that Mr. Birk has been asked to submit a new application saying what work he's done that wasn't in the original permit, what work was done after the permit expired, and what he's going to do to remediate it. John Ellis asked Donna Pridmore what she wanted Mr. Birk to do to remediate it. Donna stated that there should be some more active planting, but that she would have to go again and look at the site and see what is coming up because at this point it just looks like a weed bed. John asked Donna to clarify that she is not asking that Mr. Birk remove all the soil and rip rap and put the rip rap back without soil back on top of it. Donna stated that would be good but that her main question is to determine if some of that fill behind the rip rap, which she believes was placed outward from the original bank, and that the fill behind should be removed and that rip rap should be moved back to where the original bank was.

John asked Mr. Birk when he put the riprap in. Mr. Birk stated he put it in the fall of 2021. John asked when he filled it in with dirt. Mr. Birk stated he did not fill it in with dirt, he filled it in with washed gravel. John stated the whole bank is not rock. Mr. Birk stated that you can also see that there is a lot of that which is back behind the existing trees, and that no rock had been put into that area where the trees were that wasn't taken out., and that he wanted to keep as much of the vegetation as possible.

Scott Rumsey stated that the original permit called for an access over the bank down below for rock placement below the exiting vegetation. It called for an access point to get along the exposed part of the slough to tuck in the rock per the application at the toe of the eroding slope. Scott further stated that during the onsite inspection there were a few deciduous trees left, but all of the small vegetation was gone. The bank had been accessed and top filled over the rip rap extensively, and a lot of the fill is fine material that's going to be suspended in the water and eroded away. It's not really in a stable state.

Samantha stated there was an amendment submitted to the application on 3/30/2021 which has three pages. One page is showing the rip rap where it would be placed. The next page is

showing section A with a diagram that shows existing ground in green, and a fill area with a 2:1 slope; then Section B, which was at the far end of that rip rap line showing a similar diagram, existing ground and a fill area of a 2:1 slope. Samantha asked if this is what was approved in the permit. Donna stated that those diagrams showing placement of riprap originally went out 125-feet, and he cut them back to 30-feet. Samantha stated described the dimensions of the drawings: that the cross-section axis is shown from zero to 140 feet, and the fill area shown in red extends from zero past 100 feet for Section B, and similar dimensions for Section A. That was the amendment that was submitted. John asked Samantha to clarify if she was saying that this amendment allows him to put the fill inside the riprap? Samantha stated she was not saying that, but rather asking the question if that is what was approved. John asked for a review of the paperwork that accompanied these drawings.

Samantha read from the decision form: that the project was approved with modifications. The modifications and points include:

1. Applicant will not use geofabric.
2. Applicant will put down rock to work from on stream bottom below bank to tuck rock under eroding bank.
3. Applicant will reseed and re-vegetate disturbed bank.
4. Applicant will add a 6" (inch) gravel underlayment to large angular rock per letter submitted 4/5/2021.

Mr. Birk stated that the ground is so saturated that it takes big rock to even drive across it, it just won't hold anything. He stated that he did put down the gravel and did everything he thought he was supposed to do.

John Ellis stated we need to adjourn this item to study the original application, any letters, and any amendments.

John Ellis motioned "to table FL-2021-011 until the August 310-meeting, providing time for us to review the file, visit the property if the review requires, and to take it up again at the August 310 meeting." Donna Pridmore seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Donna asked if the Board had permission to go out and look at the property. Mr. Birk stated yes but that he would like to know so that he could attend if possible. Donna stated we will let you know if we make that decision.

Birky, FL-2022-016, Blaine Creek, permit extension request: Donna Pridmore motioned "to approve a one-year permit extension request." Roger Marsonette seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Brazan, FL-2023-008, Stillwater River, pier: This remains tabled until additional information is received.

Buck, FL-2023-055, Stoner Creek, remediation work: Donna Pridmore motioned "to approve the application as submitted. Remediation work is to be completed by September 1, 2023." Scott Rumsey seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Campbell, FL-2023-054, Swan River, elevated walkway/ramp/dock: Donna Pridmore motioned “to approve FL-2023-054 with modifications per information submitted 5/30/2023.” John Ellis seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Huff, FL-2022-023, Unnamed Stream, permit extension request: Donna Pridmore motioned “to approve a one-year permit extension.” Scott Rumsey seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Interbel Telephone Coop., FL-2023-053, Stillwater River, utilities: Donna Pridmore motioned “to approve the application with modifications per the Team Member Report.” Roger Marsonette seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Klempel, FL-2023-025, Boorman Creek, culverts: Donna Pridmore motioned “to table until additional information is submitted and until the July 310 meeting.” Scott Rumsey seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Klempnow, FL-2022-036, Teepee Creek, permit extension request: Donna Pridmore motioned “to approve a one-year permit extension request.” Scott Rumsey seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Komberec, FL-2022-018, Flathead River/Church Slough, permit extension request: Donna Pridmore motioned “to approve the one-year extension request.” John Ellis seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Levesque, FL-2023-029, Flathead River, bank stabilization: Scott Rumsey motioned “to table the application until the July 310-meeting.” Donna Pridmore seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Lowerre, FL-2023-044, Flathead River, bank stabilization: Donna Pridmore motioned “to approve the application with modifications per the Team Member Report.” Motion carried unanimously.

Palmiotti, FL-2023-043, Patrick Creek, culvert: Scott Rumsey motioned “to approve the application with modifications per the Team Member Report.” Scott Rumsey seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Pipkorn, FL-2022-055, Trumbull Creek, permit amendment request: Roger Marsonette explained that the bridge timbers are rotted, so the bridge will be torn out, and new abutments and a bridge will be built. Donna Pridmore motioned “to approve the amendment as detailed in emails submitted 6/8/2023.” Roger Marsonette seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Sneed, FL-2022-057C, Meadow Creek, complaint/violation: John Muhlfeld, River Design Group, presented the technical review submitted 6/6/2023 and provided findings, conclusions, and recommendations to the Board and FWP. Dan Wallen noted that Sneed has two specific water rights, but they are not properly used. The Board explained that the district has no jurisdiction over water rights. It was noted that the application was submitted before the technical review, and both need to be reviewed and compared before a motion can be made. Donna Pridmore motioned “to table FL-2022-057C and FL-2023-062 until the July 310-meeting.” John Ellis seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Sneed, FL-2023-062, Meadow Creek, remediation for FL-2022-057C: John Muhlfeld, River Design Group, presented the technical review submitted 6/6/2023 and provided findings, conclusions, and recommendations to the Board and FWP. Dan Wallen noted that Sneed has two specific water rights, but they are not properly used. The Board explained that the district has no jurisdiction over water rights. It was noted that the application was submitted before the technical review, and both need to be reviewed and compared before a motion can be made.

Donna Pridmore motioned “to table FL-2022-057C and FL-2023-062 until the July 310-meeting.” John Ellis seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Sneed, FL-2022-058C, Patterson Creek, complaint/violation: Donna Pridmore motioned “to table FL-2022-058C and FL-2023-009 until the July 310-meeting.” Roger Marsonette seconded. Motion carried with one no vote.

Sneed, FL-2023-009, Patterson Creek, remediation for FL-2022-058C: Donna Pridmore motioned “to table FL-2022-058C and FL-2023-009 until the July 310-meeting.” Roger Marsonette seconded. Motion carried with one no vote.

Stalowy, FL-2023-047E, Bear Creek, notice of emergency: Donna Pridmore motioned “to approve the notice of emergency.” Roger Marsonette seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Stalowy, FL-2023-048, Bear Creek, bridge: Roger Marsonette motioned “to approve the application with modifications per the Team Member Report and the amendment submitted 6/8/2023.” Donna Pridmore seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Turner, FL-2021-081C, Swan River, complaint: Donna Pridmore motioned “the complaint is satisfied with the filing of the application and is now closed.” Scott Rumsey seconded. Motion carried with one no vote.

Vukonich, FL-2022-011, Flathead River, permit extension request: Donna Pridmore motioned “to approve the one-year permit extension.” John Ellis seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Willey, FL-2023-046, E. Spring Creek, bridge: Roger Marsonette motioned “to approve the application with modifications listed in the Team Member Report.” John Ellis seconded. Motion carried unanimously. Kenny noted the foot bridge abutments eroded and the bridge collapsed.

Winthrop, FL-2023-045, Stillwater River, clean diversion intake: Donna Pridmore motioned “to table until additional information is received.” Scott Rumsey seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

NEW BUSINESS

None.

MATTERS OF THE BOARD/STAFF

Samantha Tappenbeck clarified that when a new application is received, the wording of the motion should be “to accept application #” and not “as a completed application” or “as a project”.

The next business meeting is scheduled for Monday, June 26, 2023, 7:00 P.M. in person and via ZOOM.

Adjournment: Donna Pridmore “to adjourn.” John Ellis seconded. Motion carried unanimously. As there was no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 10:57 P.M.

Submitted By:

Ginger Kauffman
Administrator

Reviewed By:

Samantha Tappenbeck
Resource Conservationist

Minutes approved by FCD Board motion made on:

<u>7/10/2023</u>	<u>Pete Woll</u>	<u>Chair</u>
(Date)	(Signature)	(Title – Chair etc.)